

Will the candidate, if elected:

Revise the current operation of the Executive Branch and its agencies so that they comply with the Constitution?

Over the last several administrations, Presidents have issued executive orders, edicts and findings that violate the Constitutionally guaranteed rights of the people and infringe on the authority of Congress which is to say the people. Will the candidate voluntarily repeal and/or cancel these policies as appropriate. In addition will he make it clear that he will expect The Congress to do its duty and represent the interests of the people in their constituencies and to set and fund the policies for the executive branch to carry out?

Will he discontinue the policy of "signing statements" (which have no weight under the Constitution, in fact it represents defacement of an official document)? How can we expect the people to respect the law if the president doesn't?

Will he acknowledge the president is responsible to the American people through their elected representatives. Will his administration be transparent and will he cooperate with the legitimate requests of the Congress. Executive privilege is not defined or given any status in the Constitution.

Freedom, privacy and personal security:

Will he again give the Bill of rights the respect it deserves? Government now intrudes routinely into the private lives of its citizens without due process or probable cause. In the meantime, the digital world has now enabled a whole new class of criminals and vandals who also invade privacy and steal identities. The U.S. government has shown no interest in the pursuit of these criminals or in the development of effective means for the individual to protect his private information. Rather, it seems more interested in facilitating its own surveillance of the people.

From a vibrant free, energetic, creative people we have devolved to a society of proletarians that has forgotten the value of our independence and the ability to engage in lawful pursuits without government direction and interference. Our government was not designed to "rule" the people. It is intended to serve the people and protect their freedoms and prerogatives, not to be a "hall monitor" or "warden." Unfortunately, our leadership class knows that only if they keep us in fear of an "evil" enemy can they maintain their dictatorial prerogatives. A position in government is no longer an opportunity to serve with integrity; it is now seen as a

position of power that puts the person above the law.

Will he direct application of U.S. law equally to all people on American soil, or under American Control. During hostilities, to either classify captured enemy as "prisoners of war" or "war criminals." Then treat them as their classification justifies.

Will he allow the "excuse," "It's classified" to prevent people accused of crimes from being denied access to exculpatory evidence? Further it is against statute to use security classification to cover incompetence, illegal or criminal actions. Justice Anthony Kennedy on 12 June 2008 in writing the majority opinion in the recent decision regarding Guantanamo detainees, "The laws and Constitution are designed to survive, and remain in force, in extraordinary times."

The Economy:

Will he, within his Constitutional authority, and in cooperation with The Congress identify and address the key factors that have reduced us to a nation of indebted consumers who no longer produce enough product or services of value to our trading partners to avoid building the trillions of dollars of personal, national and foreign debt? Will he then use leadership that to educate and encourage Americans to reverse that trend and again become free from debt and self-sufficient as a country.

Will he, in cooperation with Congress, study the international competitive environment for corporate entities with regard to taxation and regulation and begin a process to reverse the exodus to offshore destinations of our corporations and the damage that the expatriation of these entities produces to employment and the economic base of the country. Also to make it advantageous for corporations to pay their fair share instead of the millions they spend to avoid any taxes.

Further, will he start a program of reducing and eliminating subsidies of all kinds to all industries.

Will he advocate that our youth be educated in how an economy works and enterprises succeed?

Will he direct the financial regulatory functions (most of which are the purview of the Executive Branch) to become depoliticized? Will he submit budgets to allow them to do their job competently and effectively and without prejudice? Will he support them when they try rein in aberrations such as sub-prime loans that become politically popular even though they clearly will lead to a disaster and the diminution of the country's wealth and the weakening of its position in the economic

world.

Will he discourage the manipulation of the value of our currency and the inflationary policies of the Fed, SEC, and other regulatory agencies?

Will he address the pending insolvency of the Social Security and other entitlement programs? Will he make sure that especially those programs which the citizen has contributed significantly will not be diminished?

What role does he see for the federal government in education? What will be expected of the Department of Education? What is it we are not getting across to our young people about making a living by making a valuable contribution to society and the economy.

Energy:

Will he direct the Department of Energy to conduct a continuing survey of the country's energy demands? List how they being met now and the alternatives for us to become self sufficient in the near future. This information needs to be shared with the energy industry and the people. This should be only a sharing of the current energy reality. It should not include subsidies or incentives except perhaps on the consumer side, but definitely not the production side.

Subsidies, especially of experimental (alternative) technologies can create a distorted interest on the part of the government. Development should be by private money. Their reward is their profit from the public's acceptance of the products.

Will he direct the DOE to study successful alternative energy production in other countries, for example: nuclear power in France and ethanol from sugar cane in Brazil?

Foreign Policy, War and Terror:

Will he develop a foreign policy that respects the concerns, history, and culture of the citizens of other countries? Will he learn how to export our quality of life? Will his foreign policy reflect the better and more generous characteristics of Americans and avoid attempts to dominate or exploit other countries, their people and resources. When force must be employed it signifies the failure of foreign policies.

Will he refuse to pick preemptive fights with other nations or start wars to settle personal scores?

Will he, barring an actual invasion of the U.S. in force by a foreign power, promise not to engage in any police action or war unless war is declared by the Congress.

No longer define actions by or against non-state terrorists as a war.

Obtain Congressional approval before any retaliatory strikes against state sponsored terrorism.

Immigration and the War on Drugs.

Will he faithfully enforce the current laws of immigration? He has the option to ask The Congress for changes to be made, but it is unethical to ignore them and illegal for the president to violate existing law.

Will he differentiate between legal immigrants and illegal immigrants/workers? There is a major difference. Illegals are illegal. Period. They are entitled to due process but if found to be illegal they should be expeditiously deported. Compassion does not trump the law or justice.

Will he use foreign policy to convince the "powers that be" in Mexico and other Central American Countries to improve the lot of the common people so they will not be driven to break the laws of the U.S.?

Is there anything a president can do to convince people to stop the self-destructive activities of illegal drug use and thereby end the war on drugs and the cross border smuggling? Would he support legalization of certain drugs that have medicinal or recreational use (as is alcohol and tobacco)?

Does he actually think that any physical or technological barrier will stop illegal entry from entering the U.S. or bringing drugs into the U.S.? to satisfy the market demands of unethical businessmen or illegal drug users.

Will he allow the "excuse," "It's classified" to prevent people accused of crimes from being denied access to exculpatory evidence? Further it is against statute to use security classification to cover incompetence, illegal or criminal actions. Justice Anthony Kennedy on 12 June 2008 in writing the majority opinion in the recent decision regarding Guantanamo detainees, "The laws and Constitution are designed to survive, and remain in force, in extraordinary times."

Curt Gibby, Spring, Tx.